

1 The Executive Council recommends this resolution be sent to a committee of the General Synod
2

3 **ADVOCATING FOR TAX REFORM AS CHRISTIAN STEWARDSHIP
4 AND PUBLIC DUTY**

5 **A Resolution of Witness**

6 **Submitted by Justice and Witness Ministries**

7 **SUMMARY**

8 The General Synod has repeatedly called for a tax system that is progressive (with the burden
9 falling most heavily on those with more money), adequate (raising sufficient revenue for
10 society's needs), and equitable. To bring the current tax code more in line with these principles,
11 the Twenty-ninth General Synod urges all settings of the United Church of Christ to advocate
12 and work for a financial transaction tax; a stronger estate tax; reform of the corporate income tax,
13 and an end to a reduced tax rate on capital gains.

14 **BACKGROUND**

15 In 1969, the Seventh General Synod approved a pronouncement, "Sharing the Cost of
16 Government Fairly," that began:

17 Christians recognize that government has an important place in the providence of God in
18 meeting [God's] purposes and human needs. Christian stewardship regards the payment
19 of taxes, levied through the democratic process, as a public duty, and their responsible
20 use as a public trust. In the interest of justice, we insist that the revenues necessary to
21 meet the expenses of government must be apportioned with utmost fairness.

22 This statement is as true today as in 1969. But in the intervening years, support for paying taxes
23 has eroded, as has the fairness of the tax system. Many serious problems plague the country
24 today: the large number of poor and near-poor families who fail to receive the financial support
25 they need and the opportunities they deserve; the severe shortage of good jobs; the unaffordable
26 cost of higher education; the millions of people who lack health insurance; the roads and bridges
27 that are crumbling, and the high level of income inequality. While multiple factors contribute to
28 these problems, our flawed tax system plays an important role.

29 Religious organizations and our community partners struggle to meet the needs of the many
30 people who lack food, shelter, health care, jobs, and other essentials. But these faithful efforts
31 inevitably fall short; the needs are just too great. In a nation of 310 million people, and in a world
32 of over six billion, only government – of, for, and by the people – has the potential to raise
33 sufficient resources and put in place the structures and institutions that can fill our unmet needs
34 and provide for the common good. Taxes pay for a social safety net that supports us when we
35 cannot support ourselves. Taxes fund avenues of opportunity by paying for education, health
36 care, training programs, childcare, and early childhood education. Taxes strategically assessed
37 can encourage desirable behaviors and discourage undesirable ones. Taxes pay for goods and
38 services that promote the common good. A good tax system is essential for an equitable and
39 well-functioning society.

48
49 For the government to do its work successfully it needs adequate resources. But in 2012, federal
50 revenues, as a share of national income, were lower than at any time since 1950.¹ More revenue
51 is needed. The tax system must also be fair. The United Church of Christ has long supported a
52 progressive tax system, that is, a system in which tax obligations “fall on taxpayers in
53 accordance with their ability to pay....It is proper for individuals with higher incomes to be taxed
54 at successively higher rates, other things being equal.”²

55
56 A good tax system raises sufficient revenue for society’s needs, is progressive, that is, the tax
57 burden falls most heavily on those with more money, and is fair, without loopholes and other
58 possibilities for tax evasion. The following reforms could strengthen the U.S. tax system.

59
60 **Financial Transaction Tax.** A financial transaction tax would slightly raise the cost of buying
61 and selling financial instruments such as stocks, bonds, mutual funds, currency, derivatives, and
62 credit default swaps. Although the tax – a very small amount in the range of ½ of 1% of the
63 purchase price – would be nearly unnoticed by typical investors, it would reduce unproductive
64 and potentially destabilizing financial speculation while encouraging investments in the long-
65 term health and growth of a company.

66
67 **Capital Gains Taxes.** Under current law, taxes are assessed on the gains from the sale of
68 investments in stocks, bonds, businesses, mutual funds, and property. (Only gains on primary
69 residences above \$250,000 for single filers or \$500,000 for married are taxable.) Currently the
70 tax rate on capital gains is 15% for investments held for a year or more, compared with a rate of
71 35% on personal income above \$388 thousand.³ The benefits of a low tax rate on capital gains
72 accrue disproportionately to the wealthy. In 2013, an estimated 94% of the benefits from the low
73 rate on capital gains will go to taxpayers with cash incomes over \$200,000, and three-fourths of
74 the benefits will accrue to millionaires.⁴ Because many wealthy people receive much of their
75 income from investments, the low tax on capital gains is the primary reason that millionaires pay
76 lower taxes, as a share of income, than their secretaries.⁵ In 1969, General Synod VII called for
77 the elimination of the “present preferential treatment afforded most capital gains[which]
78 should be taxed at the same rates as any other income.”

79
80 **Carried Interest.** In a private investment fund like a hedge fund, the lead manager (the general
81 partner) typically receives 20% of the fund’s profits each year. This “carried interest” is typically
82 about one-third of the general partner’s annual pay⁶ which can exceed \$1 billion a year.⁷ Carried
83 interest is taxed at the low capital gains rate of 15%, not at the rate of 35% applied to personal
84 income. It would be fairer and more efficient for carried interest to be taxed like other wage and
85 salary income.

86
87 **Estate Tax.** The estate tax is the most progressive federal tax. In 2011, when the tax was
88 assessed on estates of \$5 million or more and the top rate was 35%, just 3,270 estates owed any
89 estate tax and only 40 estates with small businesses or farms (defined as those with a value
90 below \$5 million) owed estate taxes. Over half of all estate taxes are paid by people who were,
91 before death, in the top 1/10th of 1% of the income distribution.⁸ The tax also encourages
92 donations to the nonprofit sector because contributions to charity made from estates are 100%
93 tax-free. The estate tax helps narrow income inequality by reducing the size of massive
94 inheritances and limits the corrosive power and influence that accompanies wealth.

95
96 **Corporate Taxes.** The total amount of taxes paid by corporations, measured as share of national
97 income or gross domestic product, has been declining for decades. In the 1950s, corporate taxes
98 totaled 4.8% of national income. The share fell to 3.8% in the 1960s, to 2.7% in the 1970s, and
99 to 1.7% - 1.9% in the 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s. But while firms' contributions to federal revenue
100 have declined, their needs for the things that tax money buys are unchanged. Corporations need
101 healthy, educated, talented, and innovative employees; they need reliable infrastructure that
102 allows them to communicate and move products to market; and they need the courts and a
103 regulatory system to make the market function.
104

105 Corporate tax *rates* in the U.S. are higher than in many other industrialized countries. But U.S.
106 corporations have lower tax liabilities – that is they *pay* less in taxes – than do corporations in
107 nearly all the major industrialized countries. Among 26 countries, firms in the U.S. pay less
108 corporate tax, measured as a share of GDP, than do firms in all but one of the other countries.⁹ A
109 three-year study of 280 Fortune 500 corporations found that they paid just 18.5% of their profits
110 in taxes, on average, roughly half the official corporate tax rate of 35%. Thirty corporations,
111 including GE, Boeing, PepsiCo, PG&E, and others, paid no taxes during the three years.¹⁰
112

113 There are many loopholes and preferences in the corporate tax code that allow firms to reduce
114 their tax liabilities. Reforms need to focus on the treatment of stock options, depreciation
115 allowances, and industry-specific tax breaks. Firms also evade taxation by shifting income to
116 low-tax foreign jurisdictions (so-called tax havens) where they maintain an office or at least a
117 post office box. U.S. multinational firms appear to book a disproportionate share of profits in
118 low-tax locations.¹¹ A recent GAO report found that 83 of the 100 largest publicly-traded U.S.
119 corporations have subsidiaries in tax havens.¹² Some large firms have many such subsidiaries:
120 Abbott Laboratories, a giant drug company, reported 36; ExxonMobil has 32; and Citigroup
121 reports 427. Estimates of the taxes lost through these practices range from \$10 to \$60 billion a
122 year.¹³
123

124 Another flaw in the tax code not only reduces firms' tax liabilities but also encourages them to
125 move jobs offshore. Because the U.S. does not tax corporations on the profits they earn abroad
126 until they bring those profits back to the U.S., corporations have a financial incentive to build
127 plants and create jobs in other countries, or to make their business appear to take place abroad, in
128 order to reduce their taxes. This flaw in the tax code also encourages a host of other book-
129 keeping irregularities designed to boost reported profits earned abroad and reduce profits earned
130 in the United States.
131

132 BIBLICAL, THEOLOGICAL AND ETHICAL RATIONALE

133
134 The Great Commandment calls Christians to love God and love our neighbors as we love
135 ourselves (Matthew 22:36-39). We are called to care for the hungry, the thirsty, and the sick
136 (Matthew 25: 31-46). We are called to care for orphans, widows and others who cannot care for
137 themselves (James 1:27). In a modern society caring and sharing occur in many ways including,
138 very importantly, a system of progressive taxation that pays for a social safety net, the universal
139 provision of public services, and opportunities for all.
140

141 We are called to generosity and we need not fear scarcity. God provides abundantly for all
142 people (Exodus 16: 14-21, Mark 6: 30-44). We do not need to worry whether there will be
143 enough. If we first seek God's reign, if we first seek to live out God's vision for God's people
144 and God's earth, then all we need will be given to us (Matthew 6: 25-34). There is enough for all
145 if we share.

146
147 In Paul's second letter to the Corinthians, he asks them to donate money to help poor Christians
148 in Jerusalem. He writes, "I do not mean that there should be relief for others and pressure on you,
149 but it is a question of a fair balance between your present abundance and their need, so that their
150 abundance may be for your need, in order that there may be a fair balance. As it is written, 'the
151 one who had much did not have too much, and the one who had little did not have too little'" (2
152 Corinthians 8:13-15).

153
154 A fair balance is needed. One person's abundance is for another person's need. There is plenty
155 for all if we share. We are called to work for a world where there is a "fair balance" between
156 abundance for a few and the needs of many.

157
158 The words from the 2005 resolution, "For the Common Good," are worth repeating. Too often
159 our culture promotes the false message that "each of us is responsible for oneself alone and that
160 our individual successes and failures are due solely to our own efforts. ... For Christians this
161 idolatrous and narcissistic view fails to acknowledge the primacy of God. The earth is the Lord's
162 and all that is in it (Psalms 24:1). God's abundance is to be shared by all God's children."

163
164 **TEXT OF THE MOTION**

165
166 WHEREAS, in 2005, the Twenty-fifth General Synod approved the resolution "For the Common
167 Good," <http://www.ucc.org/justice/public-education/pdfs/Resolution-for-the-Common-Good.pdf>
168 affirming that "paying taxes for government services is a civic responsibility of individuals and
169 businesses"; "the tax code should be progressive, with the heaviest burden on those with the
170 greatest financial means"; and reaffirming "the obligation of citizens to share through taxes the
171 financial responsibility for public services that benefit all citizens, especially those who are
172 vulnerable";

173
174 WHEREAS, in 2005, the Twenty-fifth General Synod also approved the resolution, "To
175 Advance the Cause of the Most Disadvantaged in the Budgetary and Appropriations Process,"
176 <http://www.ucc.org/synod/resolutions/TO-ADVANCE-THE-CAUSE-OF-THE-MOST->
177 [DISADVANTAGED-IN-THE-BUDGETARY-AND-APPROPRIATION-PROCESS.pdf](http://www.ucc.org/synod/resolutions/TO-ADVANCE-THE-CAUSE-OF-THE-MOST-) urging
178 the "General Minister and President and ... Justice and Witness Ministries to: ... exert their full
179 influence in the public forum to support the restoration of more progressive taxes and to oppose
180 cuts in programs that hurt the disadvantaged";

181
182 WHEREAS, in 2003, the Twenty-fourth General Synod approved the resolution, "A Theological
183 Response to Corporate Greed," <http://www.ucc.org/synod/resolutions/A-THEOLOGICAL->
184 [RESPONSE-TO-CORPORATE-GREED.pdf](http://www.ucc.org/synod/resolutions/A-THEOLOGICAL-) calling the UCC to "[s]upport legislation limiting
185 the ability of companies to use offshore subsidiaries to shelter tax payments or to avoid
186 transparency of information needed to monitor corporate behavior";

188 WHEREAS, in 1975, the Tenth General Synod approved a “Statement on Racial and Economic
189 Justice” calling the UCC to “address the need for just tax reform which recognizes the problem
190 of inadequate income distribution”;

191
192 WHEREAS, in 1969, the Seventh General Synod approved the pronouncement, “Sharing the
193 Cost of Government Fairly,” affirming that “[t]axes should fall on taxpayers in accordance with
194 their ability to pay” and calling for a number of tax reforms including the elimination of the
195 “present preferential treatment afforded most capital gains[which] should be taxed at the
196 same rates as any other income.”

197
198 WHEREAS, the United States is an extremely wealthy nation;

199
200 WHEREAS, in 2011, 46.2 million people (15.0%) in the United States lived in poverty including
201 16.1 million children (21.9%) and, of these, 44% lived on less than half the poverty-level
202 income;

203
204 WHEREAS, as the national income, per person, doubled over the past 38 years, income
205 inequality rose: average income for the bottom 90% of households declined, average annual
206 income for the next 9% rose by less than 50% (less than \$50,000), but annual income among the
207 top 1/100th of 1% of households rose by an average of \$20 million;

208
209 WHEREAS, income inequality is weakening society and destroying the social fabric;

210
211 WHEREAS, shortcomings of the tax system highlighted in the 1969 pronouncement “Sharing
212 the Cost of Government Fairly,” persist:

- 213 • the tax system does not meet the test of equity,
- 214 • the tax base does not meet the test of adequacy, and
- 215 • the inequities of the federal law become inequalities in state taxation.

216
217 WHEREAS, churches and nonprofit organizations are unable to meet the needs and provide the
218 opportunities needed by all God’s people in order to live out God’s vision for lives of fullness;

219
220 WHEREAS, in a nation of over 300 million people and a world of over six billion, government
221 action is needed to provide for the common good and adequate tax revenues are needed to fund
222 these activities;

223
224 WHEREAS, the United Church of Christ has previously called for a tax system that is:

- 225 • progressive, insuring that higher-income households and corporations pay a greater share
226 of income in taxes than lower-income ones;
- 227 • fair and without loopholes, havens and other arrangements that can be used to legally
228 shield income from taxation;
- 229 • neutral, that is, does not create incentives for particular behaviors except when those
230 behaviors are explicitly intended as a goal of public policy;
- 231 • adequate to raise sufficient funds to meet social needs and promote the welfare of all; and
- 232 • redistributive in order to reduce income inequality and enhance social cohesion.

234 THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Twenty-ninth General Synod of the United Church of
235 Christ calls all settings of the United Church of Christ to advocate, educate, work, and pray for:

- 236 • a financial transaction tax to reduce financial speculation;
- 237 • taxes on capital gains and “carried interest” (income earned by hedge fund managers that
238 is currently taxed at the rate of capital gains) that match those levied on wages and
239 salaries;
- 240 • a strong estate tax to reduce the transfer of massive wealth across generations;
- 241 • reform of the corporate income tax to 1) boost revenue, 2) close loopholes and stop the
242 use of tax havens, and 3) end perverse incentives that encourage corporations to move
243 jobs offshore; and
- 244 • a tax system that is progressive, fair, neutral, adequate, and redistributive.

245

FUNDING

246 The Collegium of Officers, in consultation with appropriate ministries or other entities within the
247 United Church of Christ, will determine the implementing body.

248

IMPLEMENTATION

249 The funding for the implementation of the Resolution will be made in accordance with the
250 overall mandates of the affected agencies and the funds available.

ENDNOTES

¹ Council of Economic Advisors, *Economic Report of the President 2012*, table B79. 2012.

² From “Sharing the Cost of Government Fairly,” a Pronouncement adopted by General Synod VII, 1969.

³ Tax Policy Center. Individual Income Tax Parameters (Including Brackets), 1945-2012. January 10, 2012.

<http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/taxfacts/displayafact.cfm?Docid=474> Accessed 10-11-12

⁴ Tax Policy Center, Capital Gains and Dividends: What is the effect of a lower tax rate?

<http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/key-elements/capital-gains/lower-rate.cfm> Accessed 10-11-12

⁵ Citizens for Tax Justice. Ending the Capital Gains Tax Preference would Improve Fairness, Raise Revenue and Simplify the Tax Code. September 20, 2012. <http://www.ctj.org/pdf/cgdiv2012.pdf> Accessed 10-11-12

⁶ Tax policy Center, Business Taxation: What is carried interest and how should it be taxed?

<http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/key-elements/business/carried-interest.cfm> Accessed 10-11-12

⁷ Creswell, Julie and Azam Ahmed. Large Hedge Funds Fared Well in 2011. *New York Times*. March 29, 2012.

<http://dealbook.nytimes.com/2012/03/29/large-hedge-funds-fared-well-in-2011/> Accessed 10-11-12.

⁸ Tax Policy Center. Tax Topics: Estate and Gift Taxes. <http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/taxtopics/estatetax.cfm> Accessed 10-11-12

⁹ Citizens for Tax Justice. U.S. Is One of the Least Taxed Developed Countries. June 30, 2011.

<http://www.ctj.org/pdf/oecd201106.pdf> Accessed 10-11-12

¹⁰ Citizens for Tax Justice. Corporate Taxpayers & Corporate Tax Dodgers, 2008-2010. November 2011.

<http://www.ctj.org/corporatetaxdodgers/CorporateTaxDodgersReport.pdf> Each of the 280 firms made a profit in each of the three years, 2008-2010. Accessed 10-11-12.

¹¹ Tax Policy Center. International Taxation: What are the consequences of the U.S. international tax system? <http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/key-elements/international/consequences.cfm> Accessed 10-11-12.

¹² GAO, Large U.S. Corporations and Federal Contractors with Subsidiaries in Jurisdictions Listed as Tax Havens or Financial Privacy Jurisdictions, GAO-09-157, Dec 18, 2008. <http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-09-157> Accessed 10-11-12.

¹³ Gravelle, Jane G. Tax Havens: International Tax Avoidance and Evasion. Congressional Research Service. June 4, 2010 http://assets.opencrs.com/rpts/R40623_20100604.pdf Assessed 10-11-12